

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/286,794	04/06/1999	MARK E. BAER	28076/SV703A	5439	
7590 03/20/2006			EXAMINER		
MARSHALL O'TOOLE GERSTEIN MURRAY & BORUN			BLOUNT,	BLOUNT, STEVEN	
6300 SEARS TO	OWER		A DOTA DATE	DADED MUMBED	
233 SOUTH WACKER DR			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
CHICAGO, IL	606066402	2668			

DATE MAILED: 03/20/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Office Action Summary		09/286,794	BAER ET AL.	
		Examiner	Art Unit	
		Steven Blount	2668	
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication apports.	pears on the cover sheet w	rith the correspondence address	S
WHIC - Exte - after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D. nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. O period for reply is specified above, the maximum statuory period or tre to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUN 36(a). In no event, however, may a will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO e, cause the application to become A	ICATION. reply be timely filed NTHS from the mailing date of this commun. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status				
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 03 Ja	anuary 2006.		
2a)⊠		action is non-final.		
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowa		ters, prosecution as to the mer	rits is
٠,٧	closed in accordance with the practice under E	·	•	
	·			
Disposit	ion of Claims			
4)⊠	Claim(s) <u>52, 55 - 56, 58 - 59, 61 - 70</u> is/are per	nding in the application.		•
	4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>52, 55 - 56, 62 - 67,</u>	70 is/are withdrawn from	consideration.	
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>58,59,61,68 and 69</u> is/are rejected.			
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8)[Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.	•	
Applicat	ion Papers			•
9)[The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.		
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc	epted or b) objected to	by the Examiner.	
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeya	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	tion is required if the drawing	g(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.	121(d).
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	kaminer. Note the attache	d Office Action or form PTO-1	52.
Priority ı	under 35 U.S.C. § 119	,	•	
12)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
•	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:			
ŕ	1. Certified copies of the priority document	s have been received.		
	2. Certified copies of the priority document		Application No	•
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prio			e
	application from the International Bureau	u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	_	•
* 5	See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies no	t received.	,
	•			
Attachmen	it(s)	·		
_	ce of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview	Summary (PTO-413)	
2) 🔲 Notic	ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No	(s)/Mail Date	
	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) er No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of 6) Other:	Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) ——·	i

Application/Control Number: 09/286,794 Page 2

Art Unit: 2661

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- A. The examiner notes applicants election of claims 58 59, 61, and 68 69 without traverse.
- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 58 59 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being obvious over U.S. patent 3,665,758 to Tiller in view of U.S. patent 4,147,470 to Brooks et al and U.S. patent 4,299,319 to Bochan and U.S. patent 3,270,686 to Thompson.

With regard to claim 58, Tiller teaches motor shaft 67/71 with fan impeller 111 installed proximate the first end (see numeral 71) with first washer 99/95 (col 3, lines 16+) on the first fan side; shaft extension 39 coupled to assembly (load cell) 21. Tillis does not, however, teach a second washer disposed on a side of the fan impeller that is toward the first end of the motor shaft, though Tiller does teach in figure 3 nut 75 in direct contact with impeller 111. Tillis also does not teach joining the motor shaft and the shaft extension in a non-rotational manner.

Brooks et al teach having a pair of washers straddling and protecting impeller 12.

See figures 1 and 2.

Bochan teaches press fitting a shaft extension with a motor shaft in figure 1 (members 12 and 18) in view of the fact that "The resultant geometry simplification of

the motor shaft extension and the motor shaft itself further *reduces the cost* since the motor shaft and the shaft extension may be fitted together, resulting in a highly concentric assembly and eliminating the cost of the shaft extension clamp" (emphasis added). Tiller/Brooks et al/Bochan do not however teach a non-circular end on the motor shaft of *Tiller*/Brooks et al/*Bochan*.

Thompson teaches a motor shaft (drive shaft) 88 with a splined end (ie, non-circular cross section) wherein the splined end is used to effectuate a secure coupling between the said drive shaft and the shaft extension (see the area "under" numeral 165 in figure 1).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have placed a washer below the nut 75 and above impeller 121 in Tiller, in light of the teachings of Brooks et al, in order to prevent the nut from injuring the impeller when it is tightened onto the impeller. It would have been further obvious to have press fit the shaft extension onto the motor shaft of Tiller/Bochan, in light of the teachings of Bochan, in order to reduce the cost of assembly, and to have provided the motor shaft (12 in Bochan, 67/71 in Tiller) with a splined end, in light of the teachings of Thompson, in order to provide a more effective and secure coupling between the shaft and shaft extension.

With regard to claim 59, note the discussion of nut 75 above.

3. Claim 61 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. patent 4,709,794 to Lengsfeld et al.

Application/Control Number: 09/286,794

Art Unit: 2661

Lengsfeld teaches (figure 1) motor shaft 8 (col 2, line 57) with a first end (near 32) having a recessed-threaded surface geometry of non-circular disposition, wherein the surface geometry is eccentric. The examiner notes that a threaded screw has a non-circular cross section in view of the fact that the threads, in forming the helical perimeter, skew the circular shape at least a small amount.

Lengsfeld et al also teach fan impeller 5 installed on the motor shaft nearly proximate the first end of the motor shaft. Lengsfeld also teach shaft extension 32 to be installed inside shaft 8 and that shaft extension 32 has a threaded, non-circular surface geometry coupled to the first end of the motor shaft, with nut 33 "rotatably connected" to the said shaft extension, and "screwed onto the thread stem 32" (col 3, line 35). Lengsfeld also teach lower a "lower" assembly (drive shaft 38, bearings 41, 42, etc) coupled to the shaft extension.

The examiner notes that although the motor shaft is "nearly proximate" the first end of the shaft and not exactly "proximate" it, this difference in location is an obvious variation which would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention.

4. Claim 68 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being obvious over U.S. patent 3,665,758 to Tiller in view of U.S. patent 4,147,470 to Brooks et al.

See the rejection of claim 58 above (as well as the rejection of claim 58 in the previous Office action) and note that the cross sectional area of the threaded motor shaft 67/71 in figure 3 is eccentric in view of the threads on the screw.

Application/Control Number: 09/286,794

Art Unit: 2661

5. Claim 69 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being obvious over U.S. patent 3,665,758 to Tiller in view of U.S. patent 4,299,319 to Bochan and U.S. patent 3,270,686 to Thompson.

See the rejection of claim 58 above and note that the Brooks reference is not necessary because the washers are not claimed.

- 6. Applicants arguments are moot in view of the new grounds of rejection. The examiner notes that it would not require a great deal of insight by one of ordinary skill in the art to recognize the cost benefit of press-fitting to rotational (ie, threaded) coupling. The examiner also notes that the term "eccentric" is quite broad, and is defined as "deviating from an established or usual pattern or style" (Merriam Websters Collegiate Dictionary, 10th edition).
- 7. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Application/Control Number: 09/286,794 Page 6

Art Unit: 2661

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Steven Blount whose telephone number is 571-272-3071. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 9:00 - 5:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ms. Doris To, may be reached at 571-272-629. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

SB \$16 3/13/06 Alpuns. Von

ALPUS H. HSU PRIMARY FYAMINER